

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/890,714	08/03/2001		Hector Filippus Alexander Van Drentham-Susman	RHLPAT011US 7902		
7	590	08/29/2002				
John R Caspe	rson		EXAMINER			
PO Box 2174 Friendswood, TX 77549				FOOTLAND, LENARD A		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3682		
				DATE MAILED: 08/29/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No		Applicant(s)					
. Office Action Summary		09/890,714		VAN DRENTHAM-SUSMAN, HECTOR FILIPPUS AL					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Lenard A. Foo	tland	3682					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory movill apply and will expir cause the application	wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	is action is non-	final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
· _		•							
4)[Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application		eration						
5)[]	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
<u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election require	ment.						
•	ion Papers	·							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b)☐ obje	cted to by the Exa	miner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examin	ier.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex								
	under 35 U:S:C. §§ 119 and 120				The second property of the second sec				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents								
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule	e 17.2(a)).		Stage				
14)[] <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).				
	 The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 								
Attachmen	_	· •	55 .						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [5) [6) [Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 09/890,714

· Art Unit: 3682

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of Figures 1-2 versus that of Fig. 3.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, AND A LISTING OF ALL CLAIMS READABLE THEREON (NOT, FOR EXAMPLE, "AT LEAST CLAIMS..."), INCLUDING ANY CLAIMS SUBSEQUENTLY ADDED, AND IF THE AMENDMENT OF ANY CLAIMS RESULTS IN A CHANGE OF THE SPECIES THEY READ UPON, THAT TOO SHOULD BE INDICATED. FAILURE TO DO SO MAY RESULT IN A HOLDING OF NONRESPONSIVENESS. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/890,714

· Art Unit: 3682

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

The elected species is limited to the features set forth in the elected figures, and does not include features not illustrated in those figures, or illustrated in other figures. Accordingly, applicant should review all claims to ensure that all features of the elected species are properly illustrated, as required, in order to avoid a holding that an unillustrated feature does not form part of the elected species.

A telephone call was made to attorney Casperson on 8-27-02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,714

Art Unit: 3682

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lenard A. Footland, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2683.

Lenard A. Footland

Tund A. Footland

Primary Examiner Technology Center 3600 Art Unit 3682

laf

August 28, 2002

fax-703 305 7687

BEST AVAILABLE COPY